217 Highly Capable Program Plan Fiscal Year: 18-19

Milestone: Draft (Printed 9/28/2018)

District: Woodland School District **Organization Code:** 08404

ESD: Educational Service District 112

Page 1

Directions

All Local Education Agencies (LEAs) must complete this application for the 2018-19 school vear.

- Page 1: District indicates if accepting Highly Capable funds, signs and dates assurances to comply with Highly Capable Program requirements.
- Pages 2 through 6: LEA information entered on pages 2-6 of FP 217 will roll over from the LEA's last approved Highly Capable Comprehensive Plan. If changes were made on any Page (2-6) check the box on this page, then make changes on the appropriate page.
- Page 7 is hidden and will open in September (due November 30, 2018) for districts to develop a transition plan to implement new requirements of RCW 28A.300.770.

New legislation: RCW 28A.300.770 contains new criteria for identification of Highly Capable students. To assist LEAs, OSPI will provide a webinar, technical assistance, FAQs and other professional learning opportunities.

REMINDER: Last school year there were changes to the Highly Capable funding formula and equitable identification priorities. The Highly Capable funding formula increased from 2.314 percent to 5.0 percent of each LEA's population. This is a funding formula and does not mean a certain percentage of students must be identified.

LEAs must also submit the FY 2018-19 end-of-year report (SY 2017-18), iGrant Form Package 250, before receiving funding for the 2018-19 school year.

Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. In iGrants form Package 217 (fiscal year 2018-19), click Print All, to the right of Save.

Program Monitoring and Review

OSPI staff will review plans (page 7) during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process. Districts will be reviewed during Consolidated Program Review cycle, even if they are not accepting funds, as highly capable is part of the program of basic education under RCW 28<u>A.150.220(3)(g)3)</u>.

Updated Pages

Updates have been made to the following pages:

Page 2

Page 3

Page 4



Assurances: Comply with State Law and Regulation

NOTE: As part of RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g), the instructional program of basic education provided by each LEA shall include programs for Highly Capable students. Consistent with OSPI's approach to the Learning Assistance Program and the Transitional Bilingual Instructional Program, an LEA does not have to accept funds. It does need to ensure services are provided to students who qualify. Under the law, LEAs are to identify their most Highly Capable students and serve them. See RCW 28A.185.020 (1) and (2).

Please check only one box below:

LEA accepts Highly Capable allocation for 2018-19 school year. LEA agrees to the comply with:

a. RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g)(3)

The instructional program of basic education provided by each LEA shall include: (g) Programs for highly capable students under RCW 28A.185.010 through 28A.185.030.

b. RCW 28A.185.020

Highly Capable program requirements provided in State law.

C. WAC 392-170-012

Highly Capable program requirements provided under OSPI rules. WAC 392-170

- d. Annually report the students served in the LEA's Highly Capable program in CEDARS.
- e. Update the Comprehensive Plan (iGrants Form Package 217) on an as-needed basis when the district has made major program changes. LEA understands that OSPI staff will review the Comprehensive Plan during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.
- f. Annually complete the End-of-Year Report (iGrants Form Package 250).
- g. Follow RCW 28A.185.020 District practices for identifying the most highly capable student must prioritize equitable identification of low-income students.
- h. Follow RCW 28A.300.770 Highly capable students -Identification procedures. Assessment process for identification as highly capable student.

☐ LEA <u>DOES NOT accept</u> Highly Capable allocation for the 2018-19 school year. LEA understands that under the Basic Education Act, it must offer a Highly Capable program that complies with RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g). This includes a responsibility to identify and serve their most Highly Capable students. LEA agrees that it will:

- a. Annually report the students served in the district's Highly Capable program in CEDARS.
- b. Annually complete applicable portions of the End-of-Year Report (iGrants Form Package 250). This includes annually reviewing and validating CEDARS data.
- c. Update the Comprehensive Plan (iGrants Form Package 217) on an as needed basis when the district has made major program changes. (This does not need to be annually.) LEA understands that OSPI staff will review the Comprehensive Plan during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.
- d. Follow RCW 28A.185.020 District practices for identifying the most highly capable student must prioritize equitable identification of low-income students.

e. Follow RCW 28A.300.770 Highly capable students – Identification procedures. Assessment process for identification as highly capable student.

☑ District officials have read, and the distabove.	trict complies with, the laws and regulations
Name of Authorized Representative:	Jake Hall
Position/Title of Authorized Representative:	Executive Director of Learning Supports and Alternatives
Date: (MM/DD/YY)	09/25/18

Highly Capable Program Coordinate	or
Contact Name:	Jake Hall
Organization:	Woodland School District
Email:	hallj@woodlandschools.org
Phone:	3608412720
Contact Name:	
Organization:	

Highly Capable Program Parent Organization	
Is there a parent organization in your area? ☐ Yes ☑ No	
Contact Name:	
Organization:	
Email:	
Phone:	

District's Highly Capable Student Definition and Learning Characteristics RCW 28A-185-030, WAC 392-170 | 035 | 036

Instructions

- 1. Select one check box.
- 2. If you check the second box, provide the district's unique definition of a highly capable student and learning characteristics.
- District uses the state's definition for students who are highly capable, and to define the learning characteristics that could be evident in students identified as highly capable.
- District uses a unique definition that integrates elements of the state's definitions.

Highly Capable Student: Unique District Definition and Learning Characteristics.

Statement of Purpose (OPTIONAL)

District has a statement of purpose for the highly capable program.

☐ Yes 🗹 No

Identification Process: Notification, Referral, Screening, Assessment, Selection, Appeal RCW 28A-185-030, WACs 392-170 | 042 | 045 | 047 | 055 | 060 | 070 | 075

Instructions

Identification procedures must occur at all grade levels in the district. The demographics that characterize your district's highly capable students reflect the demographics of the district's population. Once a student is identified, the district provides services across all grade levels — for as long as he or she remains in the district.

Every Item is Mandatory

- 1. Mark each check box in sections A, B, C, D and E to affirm that these WAC requirements are in
- 2. Write your response where indicated.

A. Annual Notification WAC 392-170-042

Assurances

Public notification for parents and students before any major identification activity.

Public Notification

- Translated into languages spoken by the communities whose students attend the schools in your district, as necessary to reach the families whose children attend schools in your district.
- Published across multiple communication channels with circulation adequate to notify parents and students throughout the district.

B. Referral Process <u>WAC 392-170-045</u> | <u>055</u>

Assurances

- District uses a specific process to refer students for the highly capable program
- Referral process permits referrals from teachers, other staff, parents, students and community members.

C. Parental/Legal Guardian Permission WAC 392-170-047

District must have on file written permission to test and start HCP services. Every item listed below is required by WAC 392-170-047.

Assurances

- District gets permission to test.
- District gets permission to start services.

Every assurance in the table below is mandatory.

Written Permission to Test Includes		Written Permission to Start HCP Services Includes	
Explanation of the procedures for identification of a student for entrance into the HCP.	P	Explanation of the procedures for identification of a student for entrance into the HCP.	P
Explanation of the process for appealing the selection decision of the multidisciplinary selection committee.	P	Explanation of the process for appealing the selection decision of the multidisciplinary selection committee.	P
Explanation of the procedures to exit a student from the program.	P	Explanation of the procedures to exit a student from the program.	V
Information on the district's program and the options that will be available to identified students.	P	Information on the district's program and the options that will be available to identified students.	P

D. Screening Procedures **OPTIONAL** <u>WAC 392-170-045</u> | <u>055</u> | <u>060</u> | <u>075</u>

Instructions

The referral process could include a method to screen out students, who do not qualify for the HCP, based on clear current evidence.

The district uses a screening process. Yes **☑** No **☐**

If yes, click the **NEW** button and complete the tables to document the type of screener by grade level.

If no, continue to Part E.

Do Not Lose Your Data - Click Save!

Click **Save** at the top of the page after you complete each table.

Screening Procedures

Assurances

Mark both check boxes to affirm that WAC requirements detailed in 392-170-055 and 392-170-060 are in place.

- District has a clearly defined and documented screening process.
- All tests and other evaluation materials used in the screening meet requirements of WAS 392-170-060 Nondiscrimination in the use of tests.

Instructions

MSC Considers Screening and Assessment Data

Make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

[selection] "(3) Shall be based on a selection system that determines which students are the most highly capable as defined under <u>wac 392-170-055</u>, and other data collected in the assessment process."

If you checked the **K12 box**, do NOT check the boxes below individual grades.

Comitive				9	Scre	ener	by	Grad	de Lo	evel				
Cognitive	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAt 7-Screening Form		v	V	v	v	v								
CogAt 7-Full Battery						v								
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)														
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)														
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)										П				
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)														
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)														
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)						П				П	П			
Other: Name(s)						П				П				
	,		,	,	,		,	,	,	,		,	,	
A codomic Achievement				9	Scre	ener	· by	Grad	de L	evel				
Academic Achievement	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	v													
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)														
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)														
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED)														
Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)														
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV)														
Other: Name(s)														
Crostivity					Scre	ener	by	Grad	de L	evel				
Creativity	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT)														
Other: Name(s)														

Barranda harrad Ballina Godla					Scre	enei	r by	Grad	de L	evel				
Research-based Rating Scale	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	P													
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)													П	
Scales for Identifying Gifted Students, 2004 (SIGS)									П					
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)		v												
Other: Name(s)														
	1													
Informal Measures		T				1	r by			1				
	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kingore Observation Inventory														
Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed														
Parent Rating Scale-locally developed														
Report Card														
Portfolio-Work Samples														
Other: Name(s) Classroom Based Assessments	P													
REMEMBER: Allow SAV	E to co	mpl	ete b	efor	e hiti	ting t	the N	NEW	butt	con a	gain			
Screening Procedures														
Assurances Mark both check boxes to affirm that ware in place.	WAC re	equir	eme	nts d	letail	ed ir	n 392	2-17(0-05!	5 and	d 392	2-17(0-060	0
☐ District has a clearly defined and o	docum	ente	d scr	eeni	ng p	roces	ss.							
☐ All tests and other evaluation mat 170-060 <i>Nondiscrimination in the</i>				ne sc	reen	ing r	neet	requ	uirem	nents	s of V	WAS	392-	
Instructions														

MSC Considers Screening and Assessment Data

Make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

[selection] "(3) Shall be based on a selection system that determines which students are the most highly capable as defined under <u>wac 392-170-055</u>, and other data collected in the assessment process."

					Scre	ener	by	Grad	de L	evel				
Cognitive	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAt 7-Screening Form														
CogAt 7-Full Battery														
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)														
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)														
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)					П									
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)					П									
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)														
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)														
Other: Name(s)														
Academic Achievement	<u> </u>				Scre	ener	by	Grad	de L	evel				
, could me nemer ement	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)						_	ı —	l	l	I				I —
	_													
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)														
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG) Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)														
Measures of Academic Progress														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series,														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10) Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV)														

	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT)														
Other: Name(s)		П	П	П	П		П		П	П	П			
Research-based Rating Scale				9	Scre	ener	by	Grad	de Le	evel				
Research-based Rating Scale	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)														
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)														
Scales for Identifying Gifted Students, 2004 (SIGS)														
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)														
Other: Name(s)														
Informal Measures					Scre	ener	by	Grad	de Le	evel				
Informal Measures	K12	К	1	2	Scre 3	ener 4	by 5	Grad	de Le	evel 8	9	10	11	12
Informal Measures Kingore Observation Inventory	K12	K	1								9	10	11	12
				2	3	4	5	6	7	8				\vdash
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally			□	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	П			
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed Parent Rating Scale-locally				2	3 	4 □	5 □	6 □	7 □	8				
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed Parent Rating Scale-locally developed				2	3 	4 □ □	5	6 □ □	7 □	8				
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed Parent Rating Scale-locally developed Report Card				2	3 	4 □ □	5	6 □ □	7 □ □ □	8				

E. Assessment Process WAC 392-170-055 | 060

Assurances

Mark each check box to affirm that WAC requirements detailed in 392-170-055 and 392-170-060 are in place.

District has a clearly defined and documented assessment process.

All tests and other evaluation materials used in the assessment meet requirements of WAC 392-170-060 Nondiscrimination in the use of Tests.

Instructions

Use up-to-date assessment tools. Contact individual publishing companies for more information on each assessment.

Other - Name the other data sources you use.

If K12 is marked, do not check any of the individual grade level boxes for the measure.

ALERT: Districts that screen must use different instruments in the assessment process.

Assessment Measures

Compiting			As	sess	mer	t Me	easu	re B	y Gı	ade	Lev	el		
Cognitive	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAt 7-Screening Form		v	V	V	V	V								
CogAt 7-Full Battery						V								
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)														
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)														
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)														
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)	П													
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)														
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)		П					П	П						
Other: Name(s) WISC - 5th edition	V													

A and amin A abinous and			As	sess	mer	nt Me	easu	re B	y Gı	ade	Lev	el		
Academic Achievement	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)					V	P	P	v	V	V	P	v	V	v
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)			П	П										
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)														
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED)														
Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)			П											
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV)														
Kaufman Test of Educational														

Achievement (KTEA)							 	 	
Other: Name(s) iReady	P	V	V	V	V				

Creativity			As	sess	smer	nt Mo	easu	re b	y Gr	ade	Leve	el		
Creativity	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Torrance Test of Creative Thinking														
Other: Name(s)														

December Proced Pating Coals	Assessment Measure by Grade Level													
Research-Based Rating Scale	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Gifted Rating Scales, 2003 (GRS)														
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)														
Scales for Identifying Gifted Students, 2004 (SIGS)	P													
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)		v												
Other: Name(s)														

Trafarina I Managara			As	sess	men	t Me	easu	re b	y Gr	ade	Lev	el		
Informal Measures	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kingore Observation Inventory														
Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed														
Parent Rating Scale-locally developed														
Report Card														
Portfolio-Work Samples														
Other: Name(s) Classroom Based Assessments	P		П											

F. Selection <u>WAC 392-170- 075</u>

MSC Considers Screening and Assessment Data

If you screen, make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

Shall be based on a selection system that determines which students are the most highly capable as defined under WAC 392-170-055, and other data collected in the assessment process."

Assurances

- District has documented procedure and board-approved policy that govern selection of the most highly capable students by the multidisciplinary selection committee. This policy and its procedures meet the requirements listed in WAC 392-170-075.
- ☑ Board Policy and Procedure Number 2190
- ☐ If not, 2190: Board Policy and Procedure Name or Number

G. Multidisciplinary Selection Committee (MSC) WAC 392-170-070 | 075 | 038

Assurances

MSC members must meet the minimum professional requirements listed in WAC 392-170-070.

- The district's MSC composition and the role of the committee members comply with WAC 392-170-070 and 075.
- Each committee member commits to the strictest level of confidentiality related to the process, documentation, student information and selection.

HCP Services: Continuum and Variety RCW 28A-185-030, WAC 392-170-030

A. Program Services Management WAC 392-170-078 | 080

Assurances

- District provides educational opportunities that take into account each student's needs and capabilities.
- District reviews services for each student periodically to ensure services meet each student's needs and capabilities.

B. Variety and Continuum of Program Services WAC 392-170-078 | 080

Instructions

CEDARS gifted values identifies four primary structures for HCP service delivery:

- General education classroom-based services and programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 32
- Unique HCP Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 33
- Acceleration Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 34
- Non-Traditional Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 35

For each service delivery option, or options, you operate identify the instructional strategies and curricular modifications teachers integrate to meet the needs of their highly capable students.

ALERT: Instructional programming and the delivery of HCP services must be in place at every grade level in your district. Highly capable students remain in the program until their enrollment in your district ends.

Complete the Gifted Value Tables

For each Gifted Value identify:

- 1. Program options by grade level. If K12 is marked, do not check any of the individual grade level boxes for the measure.
- 2. Instructional strategies and curricular modifications

CEDARS Gifted Value 32	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
General Education classroom- based services and programs		P	V	V	P	P			V	P				
Instructional Strategies and Curr	icat	ion												
☑ Differentiation														
Flexible grouping				☑ Enrichment										
☑ Independent study	☑ Independent projects													

☑ Pacing		Content acceleration												
☐ Supplemental instruction in area of	of intere	est			☐ Supplemental materials in area of interest									
☐ Cluster grouping					Othe	r Na	me(s	5)						
CEDARS Gifted Value 33 Unique HCP services and programs	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Self-Contained classroom														
Supplemental pull-out program							V	V						
Specialty online course or courses														
Other Name(s)														
Instructional Strategies and Curricula Modification														
☑ Differentiation			Curr	iculu	m Co	ompa	actin	g						
Flexible grouping		V	Enric	chme	ent									
☐ Independent study			Inde	pend	lent	proje	ects							
☐ Pacing		▼ Content acceleration												
☑ Supplemental instruction in area of interest						olem	ental	mat	terial	ls in	area	of in	tere	st
☐ Cluster grouping			Othe	r Na	me(s	5)								
CEDARS Gifted Value 34 Acceleration services and programs	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Advance Placement (AP)													V	V
Cambridge AICE														
Concurrent or dual enrollment														
Credit by examination														
Early entrance middle school, high school or college														
Grade level advancement														
Honors/Advanced												V	V	V
International Baccalaureate (IB)														
Online course(s) for subject acceleration														
Running Start													V	V
Subject-based acceleration	bject-based acceleration \Box \Box													
Other Name(s)														
Instructional Strategies and Curri	Instructional Strategies and Curricula Modification													
☑ Differentiation			Curr	iculu	m Co	ompa	actin	g						

☐ Flexible grouping		☑ Enrichment												
☑ Independent study					Inde	pend	lent	proje	ects					
✓ Pacing				V	Cont	ent a	accel	erati	on					
☐ Supplemental instruction in area o	f intere	est		☐ Supplemental materials in area of interest										st
☐ Cluster grouping				☐ Other Name(s)										
CEDARS Gifted Value 35														
Non-traditional services and K12 K 1 programs					3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Mentorship														
Collaborative partnership with industry														
Cooperative arrangement with ESD														
Cooperative arrangement with other district(s)														
Supplemental academic competitions														
Supplemental summer enrichment or acceleration														
Supplemental before or after school services and programs														
Other Name(s)														
Instructional Strategies and Curri	cula M	lodif	icat	ion										
☐ Differentiation					Curri	culu	m Co	ompa	actin	g				
☐ Flexible grouping					Enric	hme	nt							
☐ Independent study					Inde	pend	lent	proje	ects					
☐ Pacing						☐ Content acceleration								
☐ Supplemental instruction in area of interest						☐ Supplemental materials in area of interest								
☐ Cluster grouping				☐ Other Name(s)										

Program Goals, Monitoring and Evaluation WAC 392-170-030 | RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

A. District Program Goals WAC 392-170-030

Assurance

District has defined goals for the highly capable program and works toward meeting those goals.

ALERT: Keep documentation related to HCP goals on file at the district. District staff make these records available to authorized personnel during the sate Consolidated Program Review cycle and on request.

B. Monitoring: District Records That Demonstrate Compliance WAC 392-170-095 RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

Instructions

Keep those records that evidence compliance with state law at the district. Here are some examples of the HCP documents districts must keep on file.

- School board policy and district procedure that govern the district's highly capable program
- Assurances
- Annual public notification
- Parent/legal guardian permission notices and letters
- · Description/documentation related to processes of identification, selection, appeal and program
- · Description/documentation related to program exit
- Documentation related to program options and services
- Records related to individual educational programs for highly capable students

Assurance

District maintains records that evidence compliance with the laws and regulations related to the highly capable program. District staff makes these records available to authorized personnel during the Consolidated Program Review cycle and on request.

ALERT: Do not upload HCP documentation; keep on file at the district.

C1. Evaluation WAC 392-170-030 | RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

Instructions

The evaluation of your HCP should return data that measure:

- 1. The efficacy of the district's HCP administration and operations
- 2. Compliance with state laws and regulations related to the highly capable program

Select the **methods and activities you will use** to evaluate the effectiveness of your highly capable program. Enter the timeframes for review and analysis.

		Timeframe by Month(s) example
	ı	September, December, April
Program Administration / Operations	Reviewed	Review and Analysis
Program Admin	istration/O	peration
District Policy	V	Reviewed Yearly with Administration, staff and parents.
Program Expenditures	IZ.	Each year the district surveys the students, parents and teachers to determined the effectiveness of the Hi C program and effectiveness of use of funds. Adjustments are made based on feedback and student assessment data.
Compliance to WAC 392-170	V	Reviewed Yearly with Administration, staff and parents.
District Procedures	V	Reviewed Yearly with staff and parents
Goals for District Program	₽	All educators recognize the learning and developmental differences of highly capable students, promote ongoing self-understanding, awareness of their needs, and cognitive and affective growth of these students to ensure specific outcomes. All assessments provide information about identification, learning progress and outcomes, and evaluation of programming for highly capable students. Educators assess the quantity, quality, and appropriateness of the programming and services provided for highly capable students by disaggregating assessment data and yearly progress data. We ensure the evaluation plan is purposeful and evaluates how student-level outcomes are influenced by one or more of the following components of gifted programming: 1) identification 2) curriculum 3) instructional programming and services 4) ongoing assessment of student learning 5) counseling and guidance programs 6) programming resources 7) programming design, management, and delivery. The district professional development initiatives to all teachers that will support the academic learning needs of the highly capable students include: differentiated instruction, questioning, and common core.
Academic Goals for HCP Students	₽.	Academically challenging "basic education" is best described in our district is where we meet the student were they are and educators apply the theory and research-based models of curriculum and instruction related to highly capable students and respond to their needs by planning, selecting, adapting, and creating culturally relevant curriculum and by using a repertoire of evidence-based instructional strategies to ensure specific student outcomes.
Communications	V	Parents are provided many opportunities to meet with teachers and highly capable staff during the year. Surveys are also provided at the end of the year in order to acquire feedback about programming.
Variety of Services at Grade Levels	V	Program Option for grades K-4: At the elementary level students will be served through classroom opportunities and differentiated instruction provided by the classroom teacher. Differentiated instruction is a researched based method of teaching that addresses the individual needs of the student and includes special teaching strategies for modifying curriculum content, pace, process, products and learning environment. New state common core standards have set guidelines for instruction for all students in higher level thinking skills,

including critical thinking, problem solving, interpretation, analysis and evaluation. Our primary effort is to support teachers in developing more varied and flexible approaches toward grouping, and instruction to better meet the needs of a wide range of learners in their classrooms. Consultation with the building learning coaches, gifted coordinator or building principal is provided to support teacher efforts at differentiating instruction for highly capable learners. Curriculum adaption is also considered, as well as compacting curriculum, clustering students of like academic ability, and other best practices. Exceptionally highly capable gifted and talented learners are viewed on individual need-based criteria and needs are met accordingly.

Program Options for grades 5 & 6: 5th grade students will participate in Science Olympics and 6th grade students will participate in Lego Robotics activities.

Students will learn how to design, build, and program robots using Lego Technix pieces. This is very open-ended as there is no one correct way to build or program the robots. There are multiple possible answers to the problems that will be posed. This work will be done in teams with no more than two members to a team. Program Options for Grades 7 & 8:

Lego Robotics Classes: Students will learn how to build and program robots that are made out of Lego Technix pieces. This is a very open ended class, as there is no one correct way to build or program the robots, and there are thousands of correct answers to the problems that will be given. Many of the problems will come from either this year's Lego robotics competition or last year's Lego robotics competition. This work will be done in teams with no more than two members to a team. Some students may decide to try out for our Lego robotics team that competes at local and state competitions in the fall and winter.

Science Olympiads-Grades 7 & 8 Algebra 7 & 8 Geometry 7 & 8 For Highly Capable Students in Grades 7-12:

At the middle and high school levels, highly capable support services generally include differentiation in all classrooms, great elective offerings at each school, and adaptions to the general curriculum as needed. In the middle and high school all teachers are encouraged to use their knowledge of highly capable characteristics and needs to best support their students. Teachers are encouraged to use an interdisciplinary curriculum developed around higher order reason, constructing meaning through inquiry, advanced content and concepts, and relevant issues and themes. Many cognitive learning models are employed, such as concept mapping with literature and vocabulary webs.

Program Options for grades 9-12:

Acceleration and counseling are available for highly capable students Grades 9-12. Advanced Subject Placement, Honors, Pre-AP/IB courses are offered and encouraged for students identified as highly capable.

In order for students to participate in the pull-out programs, they must be able to comply with and adhere to homeroom classroom expectations and be self-managers of their behavior. Students are required to attend all Hi C classes as outlined in the Highly Capable Program Guidelines. Unless some concern arises from the teachers or parents, continued participation in the Hi C Program will be automatic.

Continuum of

V

See section: Variety of Services at Grade Levels-above

Services	
Other: Name(s)	

C2. Evaluation <u>WAC 392-170-030</u> | <u>RCW 28A.185.050</u> | <u>RCW 28A.150.220</u>

Instructions

The evaluation of your HCP should return data that measure:

- 1. How well you HCP met its program goals
- 2. Academic achievement of your highly capable students
- 3. How well your HCP addressed the needs and capabilities of highly capable students

Select the **methods and activities you will use** to evaluate the effectiveness of your highly capable program. Enter the grade level, and timeframes for collection/administration and analysis.

		Timeframe by Mo September, De	
Evaluation Methods and Activities	Grades	Collect or Administer	Review
Grades and Tests			
AP Tests	☐ Elementary		
Ar lests	☑ Secondary	January, June	June
Cambridge AICE Tests	☐ Elementary		
Cambridge AICE Tests	☐ Secondary		
Classroom-based	☑ Elementary	October, January, May	October, January, May
Assessments	☐ Secondary		
District Assessments	☐ Elementary		
District Assessments	☐ Secondary		
IB Tests	☐ Elementary		
TD Tests	☐ Secondary		
Performance Assessment	☐ Elementary		
Performance Assessment	☐ Secondary		
Progress Reports	☐ Elementary		
Progress Reports	☐ Secondary		
Papart Cards	☐ Elementary		
Report Cards	☐ Secondary		
State Assessments	☑ Elementary	September	June
	Secondary	September	June

Other: Name(s)	☐ Elementary							
Other: Name(s)	☐ Secondary							
Qualitative Data								
Staff Anecdotal Observation		☑ Elementary	y Nov	embe	er		June	9
Stall Affectional Observation		☑ Secondary	Nov	November			June	9
Student Reflection		☐ Elementary	у					
		☐ Secondary					<u> </u>	
Student Interviews		☐ Elementary	y					
		☑ Secondary	Jun	e			June	9
Other: Name(s)		☐ Elementary	у					
		☐ Secondary						
Surveys								
Administrator	ı	☐ Elementary						
- Administration	ı	Secondary						
Parent		E lementary	June			June	:	
	<u> </u>	Secondary						
Student		Elementary	June			June	!	
Stadent		Secondary						
Teacher		☐ Elementary						
reacties	ı	☐ Secondary						
Other: Name(s)	ı	☐ Elementary						
other: Nume(3)	ı	Secondary						
Other Data Sources								
				e e	lement	ary	June	June
Attendance				₽ s	Seconda	ary	June	June
Competition Performance and Outcom	nes for supplem	nental progran	ns		lement	ary		
such as Destination Imagination, Futu				☐ Secondary				
				e e	lement	ary	June	June
Program Participation				₽ 9	Seconda	ary	June	June
Oth an Nama (a)					lement	ary		
Other: Name(s)				Seconda	ary			

School Board Approval of District Comprehensive Plan: iGrants 217 WAC 392-170-020 | 025 | 030

A. Estimate of Students Expected to Serve WAC 392-170-030

Instructions

Estimate the number of students your district expects to serve at each grade — across the district's total grade span.

К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
20	17	23	20	35	16	12	3	5	9	22	15	11	208

B. iGrants 217 Highly Capable Program Comprehensive Plan: School Board Approval WAC 392-170-020 | 025

Instructions

This iGrants form package - 217 - is your district's Comprehensive plan.

- 1. Complete, print out all pages and take it to your school board for review and approval. Click **Print All**, to the right of Save.
- 2. Enter the date your school board approved this Comprehensive plan, iGrants 217.
- 3. Upload the board meeting minutes that document approval.

Date of Board Approval:

Upload meeting minutes that show board approval of iGrants FP 217 for major updates.

File names: do not use symbols or special characters.

Uploaded Files Uploaded At Uploaded By

Files have not been uploaded

Complete page 7 and submit Form Package 217 for OSPI approval by November 15, 2018.

Equitable Identification of Low-Income Students RCW 28A.185.020

Explain how you will address equitable identification of low-income students as required by law RCW 28A.185.020.

All LEAs receiving Highly Capable funding for the 2018-19 school year must complete pages 1 and 7. OSPI staff will review these plans during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.

1. Identify person(s) responsible for developing and implementing LEA equitable identification plan to address low-income students.

Jake Hall, Executive Director of Learning Supports and Alternatives John Shoup, Principal of Woodland High School James Johnston, Principal of Woodland Middle School Denise Pearl, Principal of Woodland Intermediate School Ingrid Colvard, Principal of Woodland Primary School

2. LEAs may find systemic barriers to identifying low-income students, such as: limited communication about referral process, testing outside school day, reliance on standardized testing only, no routine review of existing data, communication in English only, "cut off" scores on standardized tests, lack of information by school front office staff, and others.

OSPI suggests the following possible actions to reduce barriers to identifying low-income students: screen all students at certain grade level(s) to "screen in" students who may be overlooked, look for above-grade-level WaKIDS indicators, assess rapid growth over time with WaKIDS or ELPA21, test during school day in home school, review IEPs for students with disabilities for indicators of giftedness (twice exceptional), inform staff of gifted indicators and solicit referrals by staff, use alternative assessments for English learners, routinely review all relevant data for any new student, include referral information in enrollment packets, reach out to families by native language speaker.

Please explain what actions your LEA will take to prioritize equitable identification of low-income students, and the LEA's process to implement during the 2018-19 identification cycle.

Last school year we screened all students, K-4, for identification of Highly Capable with three measures: CoGat, Classroom Based Assessments, and State Assessments.

We have defined a team of staff and administrators from K-8 grades to attend the WAETAG conference. This team will set the goal of Highly Capable in Woodland School District and will implement and monitor programs in the schools/classrooms for students identified as Highly Capable.

Criteria for Identification RCW 28A.300.770

NEW QUESTION FOR 2018-19 SCHOOL YEAR:

Explain how you will address criteria for identification as required by new legislation RCW 28A.300.770.

3. Explain what actions your LEA will take to address these criteria in your identification policy and procedures, and the LEA's process to implement during 2018-19 identification cycle.

LEAs must have identification procedures for highly capable programs that are clearly stated and implemented using the following criteria:

- a. Districts must use multiple objective criteria to identify students who are among the most highly capable. Multiple pathways for qualifications must be available and no single criterion may disqualify a student from identification.
- b. Highly capable selection decisions must be based on consideration of criteria benchmarked on local norms, but local norms may not be used as a more restrictive criterion than national norms.
- c. Subjective measures such as teacher recommendations or report card grades may not be used to screen out a student from assessment. These data points may be used alongside other criteria during selection to support identification, but may not be used to disqualify a student from being identified.
- d. To the extent practicable, screening and assessments must be given in the native language of the student. If native language screening and assessments are not available, a nonverbal screening and assessment must be used.

This school year the Woodland School District will continue to have multiple objective criteria for identification of Highly Capable including staff and parent reports, state assessments, classroom based assessments and achievement and ability assessments. We will use benchmarked local norms for standardized assessments but we will also consider teacher and parent reports and/or direct observation of the student by a school psychologist and/or IEP case manager. To the extent practicable we will have all Highly Capable assessment in students' native language.